

National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

12615 West Keystone Drive * Sun City West, AZ, 85375 Telephone (623)680-9592 * e-mail: ncutcd@aol.com

2 3

1

3

5 6 NCUTCD Proposal for Changes to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

TECHNICAL

Regulatory/Warning Signs Technical Committee

COMMITTEE:

ITEM NUMBER: 18A-RW-02

TOPIC:

Section 2C.36 Advance Traffic Control Signs

ORIGIN OF REQUEST:

RWSTC Discussions

Task Force: Dan Paddick (Chair), Jim Pline, Tom Heydel, James Sullivan, Herman Hill, Jeff Wolfe, Tim Haagsma, Ross

Oyen

AFFECTED SECTIONS

OF MUTCD:

Section 2C.36 Advance Traffic Control Signs

7

9

10

11

DEVELOPMENT HISTORY:

- Approved by Task Force: 11/27/2017
- Approved by RW Technical Committee: 01/03/2018
- Approved by RW Technical Committee following sponsor comments: 06/20/2018
- Approved by NCUTCD Council: 06/21/2018

12 13 14

15 16

17

This is a proposal for recommended changes to the MUTCD that has been approved by the NCUTCD Council. This proposal does not represent a revision of the MUTCD and does not constitute official MUTCD standards, guidance, or options. It will be submitted to FHWA for consideration for inclusion in a future MUTCD revision. The MUTCD can be revised only through the federal rulemaking process.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

SUMMARY:

A question was raised during private work by a RWSTC member regarding the consistency and completeness of Section 2C.36 "Advance Traffic Control Signs". Subsequent discussions between RWSTC members revealed that at least two States, New York and Wisconsin have modified this Section of the MUTCD in their State supplements to attempt clarify or modify the intent of the Section.

252627

DISCUSSION

Of particular concern is paragraph 01 which states:

28 29 30

31

"The Advance Traffic Control symbol signs (see Figure 2C-6) include the Stop Ahead (W3-1), Yield Ahead (W3-2), and Signal Ahead (W3-3) signs. These signs shall be installed on an

32 approach to a primary traffic control device that is not visible for a sufficient distance to

Attachment No. 8

Item No.: 18A-RW-02

permit the road user to respond to the device (see Table 2C-4). The visibility criteria for a traffic control signal shall be based on having a continuous view of at least two signal faces for the distance specified in Table 4D-2."

The first concern identified was the intent of the second sentence. Table 2C-4 is referenced in parenthesis at the end of the sentence. Is the intent of this sentence to define Table 2C-4 as the "sufficient distance" criteria reference in the second sentence?

The second concern was whether the second sentence was referring to all three signs, the Stop Ahead, Yield Ahead and the Signal Ahead signs or just the Stop Ahead the Yield Ahead signs. The third sentence specifically addresses the visibility criteria for the signal ahead sign.

The third concern is what sight distance criteria should be used to meet the "visible for a sufficient distance." This seems to be the primary concern of the two States that have modified this section in their supplements.

The title for Table 2C-4 is "Guidelines for Advance Placement of Warning Signs". This table is for the advance placement of the sign. This is not necessarily the sight distance a motorist needs to see the Stop sign to make a safe stop. It would seem that that distance would be the Stopping Sight Distance for the approach speed. The stopping sight distance is considerably longer than the distance in the "0 MPH" column in Table 2C-4. Since the Stop Ahead and Yield Ahead signs can be read and reacted to before the sign is reached, the sign placement distance can be shorter than the stopping sight distance.

New York State has modified Table 2C-4 so that the "0 MPH" column is the AASHTO Stopping Sight Distance table (Exhibit 3-1) from the AASHTO's "2004 A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets". Wisconsin uses a "Minimum Visibility Distance" which is the Intersection Sight distance for a left turn from a stop. It is Exhibit 9-55 of the aforementioned AASHTO Policy.

Task Force discussions also considered whether the use of the signs should continue to be a Standard or whether it should be reduced to a Guidance statement. Some felt that a Guidance statement would be strong enough and that it would give agencies some leeway. Others felt that not giving warning when sufficient sight distance did not exist could result in a hazardous situation. The consensus was to retain the Standard statement for the use of the sign.

Task Force discussions also considered whether to provide a low speed exception to the requirement that the sign be used when sufficient stopping sight distance was not available. This would not give carte blanche to agencies or practitioners, but would allow for judgment to be applied in a situation where, for instance, an approach from a 300-foot long cul-de-sac to a Stop sign does not quite meet the SSD requirement due to a curve or short tangent. As matters currently stand, an advance sign would be required, even on a very low volume dead end street, and one where the context guides drivers to exercise due care. As is, the Standard seems unduly restrictive when applied to low speed, low volume conditions.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. Retain the Standard requirement that the sign be used when sufficient sight distance is not available to stop.
- 2. Provide a low speed exception that the sign be used when sufficient sight distance is not available to stop.
- 3. Delete the parentheses reference to Table 2C-4 at the end of the second sentence of the first paragraph. Also delete the third sentence which relates to visibility requirement for the Traffic Signal Ahead. Paragraph 03a is a Guidance statement that addresses the same issue. The items in these deletions were causing confusion. The purpose of reference to Table 2C-4 was implied but not specified. Having the visibility criteria for the Signal Ahead sign as both a Standard and a Guidance statement is incorrect.
- 4. Add a Guidance statement that says that the visibility criteria for the Stop and Yield signs should be based on the distances specified in Table 2C-X.
- 5. Add Table 2C-X that defines the "sufficient sight distance to stop" for Stop signs and Yield signs. AAHSTO Stopping Sight Distance was used with a note that the distances may be adjusted to account for grade and queue lengths.
- 6. Change "shows" to "show" in the first line of paragraph 02.
- 7. Clean up the wording of paragraph 07. It is now worded similar to paragraph 10.

RECOMMENDED MUTCD CHANGES

The following present the proposed changes to the current MUTCD within the context of the current MUTCD language. Proposed additions to the MUTCD are shown in blue underline and proposed deletions from the MUTCD are shown in red strikethrough. Changes previously approved by NCUTCD Council (but not yet adopted by FHWA) are shown in green double underline for additions and green-double strikethrough for deletions. In some cases, background comments may be provided with the MUTCD text. These comments are indicated by [highlighted light blue in brackets].

106 107 108

109

79

80

81

82

83

84 85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97 98

99 100

101

102 103

104

105

Section 2C.36 Advance Traffic Control Signs (W3-1, W3-2, W3-3, W3-4) Standard:

- 110 of Except as provided in Paragraph 4, The Advance Traffic Control symbol signs, (see
- 111 Figure 2C-6) include the Stop Ahead (W3-1), Yield Ahead (W3-2), and Signal Ahead (W3-
- 3) signs (see Figure 2C-6), . These signs shall be installed on an approach to a primary
- traffic control device that is not visible for a sufficient distance to permit the road user to
- respond to the device (see Table 2C-4). The visibility criteria for a traffic control signal
- shall be based on having a continuous view of at least two signal faces for the distance
- 116 **specified in Table 4D-2.**
- 117 Support:
- Figures 2A-4 and 2A-5a and b shows the typical placement of an Advance Traffic Control
- sign. (approved by Council January 9, 2012, Attachment # 3, RW # 1)
- 120 og Permanent obstructions causing the limited visibility might include roadway alignment or
- structures. Intermittent obstructions might include foliage or parked vehicles.
- 122 Guidance:
- 123 O3a The visibility criteria for a Stop sign or a Yield sign should be based on having a continuous
- 124 *view of the Stop sign or the Yield sign for the distance specified in Table 2C-X.*

- 125 <u>03b</u> The visibility criteria for a traffic control signal should be based on having a continuous
- 126 <u>view of at least two signal faces for the distance specified in Table 4D-2.</u> (approved by Council
- 127 June 22, 2012, RW # 3, Attachment # 6)
- 128 04 Where intermittent obstructions occur or when the 85th percentile speed or the posted
- 129 <u>speed limit is 25 mph or lower</u> engineering judgment should determine the treatment to be
- implemented. need for and the placement of an Advance Traffic Control sign.
- 131 Option:
- 132 of An Advance Traffic Control sign may be used for additional emphasis of the primary traffic
- control device, even when the visibility distance to the device is satisfactory.
- 134 of An advance street name plaque (see Section 2C.58) may be installed above or below an
- 135 Advance Traffic Control sign.
- 136 or The Advance Traffic Control sign may be supplemented with a A warning beacon (see
- 137 <u>Section 4L.03</u>) may be used with an Advance Traffic Control sign or yellow LEDs within the
- border of the sign. (approved by Council June 28, 2014, RW # 3, Attachment # 1)
- 139 08 A BE PREPARED TO STOP (W3-4) sign (see Figure 2C-6) may be used to warn of
- stopped traffic caused by a traffic control signal or in advance of a section of roadway that
- regularly experiences traffic congestion.
- 143 **Standard:**

142

147

152

158

- shall be used in addition to a Signal Ahead sign and shall be placed downstream from the
- 146 Signal Ahead (W3-3) sign.
- 148 Option:
- 149 The BE PREPARED TO STOP sign may be supplemented with a warning beacon (see
- 150 Section 4L.03) or yellow LEDs within the border of the sign. (approved by Council June 28,
- 151 2014, RW # 3, Attachment # 1)
- 153 Guidance:
- 154 *When the warning beacon or sign border LEDs are* is interconnected with a traffic control
- signal or queue detection system, the BE PREPARED TO STOP sign should be supplemented
- with a WHEN FLASHING (W16-13P) plaque (see Figure 2C-12). (approved by Council June 28,
- 157 2014, RW # 3, Attachment # 1)
- 159 Support:
- 160 12 Section 2C.40 contains information regarding the use of a NO MERGE AREA (W4-5P)
- supplemental plaque in conjunction with a Yield Ahead sign.
- 163

162

Table 2C-X Minimum Sight Distance for a Stop Ahead (W3-1) or a Yield Ahead (3-2) Sign

Speed limit or 85th-Percentile Speed	Minimum Sight Distance
20 mph	115 feet
25 mph	155 feet
30 mph	200 feet
35 mph	250 feet
40 mph	305 feet
45 mph	360 feet
50 mph	425 feet
55 mph	495 feet
60 mph	570 feet
65 mph	645 feet
70 mph	730 feet

Note: The Table is based on 2011 AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, Table 3-1, Stopping Sight Distance on Level Roadways. <u>Distances may be adjusted for queue length or grade.</u>

<u>Table 3-2 of the 2011 AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets may be used as a guide on grades.</u>

164 165

166

167 168

169 170