

- 42 2) That the RWSTC wanted the Enterprise study to determine based on solid
 43 research, which signs are the best signs. The RWSTC would then propose the
 44 addition of these signs to the MUTCD.
- 45 46

47

This position was reconfirmed at the June 2013 meeting.

In an effort to have material available for the 2015 MUTCD, the RWSTC modified its position on these points at its January 2014 meeting. Instead the Task Force is to use the ongoing research to identify successful signs that that meet the basic requirements of the MUTCD. Then, once identified by the Task Force, prepare material to include in the MUTCD for these signs. The use of these signs will be presented as an Option statement.

- The following summary of signs and accident history was then complied. It should be noted that the studies, as best as can be determined are "simple before/after studies". This means that no control sites were used and regression to the mean has not been addressed.
- 58
- The Pooled Fund Study entitled Evaluation of Low Cost Safety Improvements (TPF5(099)) (ELCSF) is also collecting and analyzing the data on Intersection Conflict
 Warning Systems. They should be producing some data in the near future.
- 62
- 63 Signs on Major Road

- 65 <u>North Carolina</u>
- 66 VEHICLE ENTERING (WHEN FLASHING) Majority included WHEN FLASHING
- 67 2 and 4 lane divided roadways, Diamond signs with flashing beacons
- 68 CRF total 32.5%, Frontal 32.1% Injury 26.8% Severe Injury 30.1%
- 69
- 70 *North Carolina* (May be some of the same sites as above
- 71 VEHICLE ENTERING (WHEN FLASHING)
- 72 2 and 4 lane divided roadways, Diamond signs with flashing beacons
- 4 sites 2 Lane with 2 lane side road simple before and after CRF 46.1%
- 74 7 Sites 4 Lane with 2 lane side road simple before and after CRF 19.9%
- 75
- 76 <u>Missouri</u>
- 77 WATCH FOR ENTERING TRAFFIC or VEHICLES ENTERING WHEN FLASHING
- 78 Rectangular signs with flashing beacons. Divided and undivided. Four way and T
- 79 intersections. All at 2 lane side roads. Nine locations
- 80 Simple before and after CRF total 28%, Angle 37%, Severe 72%, Severe Angle 75%
- 81
- 82 Signs on Minor Road
- 83
- 84 <u>North Carolina</u>
- 85 VEHICLE ENTERING (WHEN FLASHING)
- 86 WATCH FOR APPROACHING VEHICLES
- 87 Overhead at intersection

- 88 Simple before and after CRF total 3.5%, frontal impact +4.3%
- 89
- 90 *Iowa and Missouri*
- 91 TRAFFIC APPROACHING WHEN FLASHING
- 92 Diamond sign with flashing beacons placed 50 to 70 feet to left of intersection. Sign
- 93 placement not in general conformance with principles of MUTCD. Night time
- 94 illumination and washout issues need to be considered.
- Simple before and after CRF total 32%, Angle 44%, Severe 33%, Severe Angle 8%
- 96
- 97 <u>Missouri</u>
- 98 TRAFFIC APPROACHING WHEN FLASHING
- 99 Diamond sign with flashing beacons. Divided and undivided. Four way and T
- 100 intersections. Sign on far side of intersection(?). Ten locations
- 101 Simple before and after CRF total 29%, Angle 36%, Severe 29%, Severe Angle 28%
- 102
- 103 Minnesota
- 104 Side Road: LOOK FOR TRAFFIC WHEN FLASHING
- 105 Thru Road: "Intersection" warning sign
- 106 Four locations, all four way single lane
- 107 Formal before and after being included in ELCSI-PFS
- 108 Unofficial simple before and after CRF total 59%
- 109 **NOTE**: **Being removed summer of 2014**. Being replaced with diamonded shaped
- 110 VMS with ENTERING TRAFFIC legend and WHEN FLASHING plaque.
- 111
- 112 <u>Minnesota</u>
- 113 Modified R6-3 "Divided Highway" message board sign. LED red slash circle and
- 114 vehicle indication on occupied approach.
- 115 No signing on thru roadway
- 116 Three locations, all four way, two through lanes on major, single lane approaches on side
- 117 road.
- 118 Formal before and after being included in ELCSI-PFS
- 119 Unofficial simple before and after CRF total 60%
- 120 **NOTE: Being removed summer of 2014.** Being replaced with diamonded shaped
- 121 VMS with ENTERING TRAFFIC legend and WHEN FLASHING plaque.
- 122
- 123 <u>Wisconsin</u>
- 124 Divided 4 lane road with 2 lane side road (one lane approach)
- 125 Modified R6-3 "Divided Highway" message board sign. LED red slash circle and
- 126 vehicle indication on occupied approach.
- 127 Before After accident analysis incomplete
- 128

129 Signs on both Major and Minor

- 130 131 *Missou*
- 131 <u>Missouri</u>

- 132 Major Road: WATCH FOR ENTERING TRAFFIC or VEHICLES ENTERING WHEN
- FLASHING Rectangular signs with flashing beacons Divided and undivided. Four wayand T intersections. All at 2 lane side roads.
- 134 and 1 intersections. All at 2 lane side roads.
- 135 Minor Road: TRAFFIC APPROACHING WHEN FLASHING Diamond sign with
- 136 flashing beacons.
- 137 Eight locations Simple before and after CRF total 28%, Angle 37%, Severe 48%, Severe
- 138 Angle 53%
- 139
- 140 <u>Minnesota</u>
- 141 Side Road: LOOK FOR TRAFFIC NOTE: Being removed summer of 2014. Being
- replaced with diamonded shaped VMS with ENTERING TRAFFIC legend and WHEN
 FLASHING PLAQUE
- 144 Thru Road: ENTERING TRAFFIC WHEN FLASHING
- 145 Five locations, all four way single lane
- 146 Formal before and after being included in ELCSI-PFS
- 147 Unofficial simple before and after CRF total 52%,
- 148
- 149 <u>Pennsylvania</u>
- 150 Major Road: Diamond "Intersection" with LED cars on side road, VMS with TRAFFIC
- 151 Ahead message and 25 MPH advisory speed panel
- 152 Side Road: VMS with CROSSING TRAFFIC legend and car symbols
- 153 Two locations, Five years before and after data. No change in number of accidents at one
- 154 location. Increase from 6 crashes to 11 crashes at the other.
- 155
- 156 <u>Maine</u>
- 157 Main Road: Rectangular overhead TRAFFIC ENTERING WHEN FLASHING sign with
- 158 flashing beacons
- 159 Side Road: Diamond shaped ground mounted VEHICLE ENTERING with what looks
- 160 like a rectangular panel with LED FROM RIGHT and FROM LEFT legends
- 161
- 162 Signs Used
- 163 164 *Main Road*
- 165 1. VEHICLE ENTERING
 - 2. VEHICLES ENTERING WHEN FLASHING
- 167 3. ENTERING TRAFFIC WHEN FLASHING
 - 4. TRAFFIC ENTERING WHEN FLASHING
- 169 5. WATCH FOR ENTERING TRAFFIC
- 170

172

173

174

166

168

171 <u>Side Road</u>

- 1. TRAFFIC APPROACHING WHEN FLASHING
- 2. LOOK FOR TRAFFIC WHEN FLASHING
- 3. VEHICLE ENTERING (WHEN FLASHING)
- 175 4. LOOK FOR TRAFFIC <u>NOTE</u>: Being removed summer of 2014.
- 176
 5. Diamond shaped ground mounted VEHICLE ENTERING with what looks like a rectangular panel with LED FROM RIGHT and FROM LEFT legends

178

- 179 180
- 181
- 182
- 183
- 184

185 **DISCUSSION:**

2014.

FLASHING plaque

186

187 There was general consensus on the task force that the accident data was adequate to188 justify some form of signing on both through road and side road applications.

7. Diamonded shaped VMS with ENTERING TRAFFIC legend and WHEN

6. Modified R6-3 "Divided Highway" message board sign. LED red slash circle and

vehicle indication on occupied approach. NOTE: Being removed summer of

189

On the through road installations there was considerable disagreement on whether the WHEN FLASHING wording should be included. One side believed that it was necessary to include WHEN FLASHING. Otherwise it would not be an ICWS installation. It would just be another intersection warning sign to use. Drivers would be on their own to recognize that the sign did not always flash and to recognize the significance of the flash.

The other faction believed that the WHEN FLASHING was unnecessary and opened
up the liability issue when the system either failed to detect the side road vehicle or was
in some other system failure. The task force favored VEHICLES ENTERING WHEN
FLASHING and WATCH FOR ENTERING TRAFFIC.

200

There was also considerable support to use a large rectangular sign rather than the traditional diamond shaped warning sign. This would distinguish the installation from a normal warning sign installation.

204

On the side road approach three signs where favored. They were LOOK FOR
TRAFFIC WHEN FLASHING, TRAFFIC APPROACHING WHEN FLASHING and
WATCH FOR APPROACHING VEHICLES. There is no accident data available for the
WATCH FOR APPROACHING VEHICLES sign. Again there is the question of
whether to use the WHEN FLASHING portion of the legend. The issue is the same. Is it
an ICWS sign or just another warning sign? Is the sign applicable if there is a detection
system failure?

212

It is the task force's position that both of these positions have validity and it is the
users responsibility to choose the position that they want to take.

The use of LED and VMS in ICWS installations adds more dimensions and complexity to the situation. The task force has decided to table these type of installations to a future date.

219

220 **RECOMMENDATION:**

222	Intersection Conflict Warning Systems are being used throughout the Country. There
223	is a need for the NCUTCD to provide some guidance on the signs being used. The use of
224	these signs are optional.

Add the TRAFFIC ENTERING WHEN FLASHING sign and the WATCH FOR ENTERING TRAFFIC sign to the MUTCD for use in ICWS installations on the through roadway. Provide for the use of the traditional diamond shaped sign and a large rectangular sign.

Add the TRAFFIC APPROACHING WHEN FLASHING and WATCH FOR APPROACHING VEHICLES signs to the MUTCD for use in ICWS installations on the side road approach.

234 235

225

230

Note: Proposed changes to the MUTCD are shown in <u>underline red</u> and removed
 text are shown in strikethrough red.

238 239

240 **RECOMMENDED WORDING:**

241 242 Section 1A.13 Definitions of Headings, Words and Phrases in this Manual

Add a new definition to paragraph 03

245

243

- 246 <u>XYZ. Intersection Conflict Warning System (ICWS) A system of signs, vehicle</u>
 247 <u>detection, and either flashing warning beacons or active sign element(s)</u>
 248 <u>installed at or near an intersection to provide real-time information about</u>
 249 <u>intersection conditions.</u>
- 250

251 Section 2C.XY Intersection Conflict Warning System Signs (WX-U through WX-Z) 252 Support:

- 253 <u>01 Intersection Conflict Warning Systems are typically installed to address crashes</u>
- associated with driver inattention, restricted sight distance, and gap selection at stop
 controlled intersections.
- 255 <u>controlled inte</u>

- 257 <u>Option:</u>
 258 <u>02 TRAFFIC ENTERING (WHEN FLASHING) (WX-U1 or WX-U2) sign or a</u>
- 259 WATCH FOR ENTERING TRAFFIC (WX-V1 or WX-V2) sign (see Figure 2C-X) or
- 260 similar message may be used on the through roadway approach to a side road stop
- 261 controlled intersection as part of an Intersection Conflict Warning System to warn of
- 262 <u>entering traffic from the side road.</u>
- 263 *The sign may be in diamond or rectangular format.* (note: place holder for illustration)
- 264
 265 <u>03 The TRAFFIC APPROACHING (WHEN FLASHING) (WX-Y1 OR WX-Y2) sign or</u>
 266 <u>the WATCH FOR APPROACHING VEHICLES (WX-Z) sign or similar message (see</u>

- 267 Figure 2C-X) may be used on the side road stop controlled approach of an Intersection
- 268 <u>Conflict Warning System to warn of approaching traffic on the through road.</u>
- 269

270 Standard:

- 04 When used as part of an Intersection Conflict Warning System, the TRAFFIC
 ENTERING (WHEN FLASHING) sign, the WATCH FOR ENTERING TRAFFIC
 sign, the TRAFFIC APPROACHING WHEN FLASHING sign, and the WATCH
 FOR APPROACHING VEHICLES sign or similar message shall be supplemented
- with an active warning system that activates when an approaching vehicle is
 detected.
- 277
- 278 (add designs to Figure 2C-9 Intersection Warning Signs)279
- 280 RWSTC VOTE 6-26-14: For: 23 Opposed: 2 Abstentions:1
- 282 COUNCIL VOTE: 6-28-14 Approved For: 35 Opposed: 0 Abstentions: 2
- 283

- 284 C:ncutcd/June 2014/Intersection Conflict Warning System (ICWS) approved by
- 285 RWSTC 6-26-14, approved by COUNCIL 6-28-14