1 2 3 4	<u>Attachment No.4</u> <u>RW Signs No. 3</u>
	National Committee on
	Uniform Traffic Control Devices
_	17200 West Bell Road No.1135 * Surprise, Ariz. 85374 Telephone (623) 214-2403 * e-mail: ncutcd@aol.com
$\frac{5}{6}$	RWSTC Agenda item IV.C.
7 8 9	June 2012 RWSTC Agenda item III.3 January 2013
10	
11	National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
12	RWSTC RECOMMENDATION FOLLOWING SPONSOR COMMENTS
13 14	TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: NCUTCD Regulatory/Warning Signs Technical
15	Committee
16	
17	DATE OF ACTION: (Task Force) 5-6-12, revised 5-24-12, 5-26-12, revised 12-9-12,
18	revised 12-21-12 following sponsor comments, revised 1-10-13 following sponsor
19	comments TASK FORCE: Tom Houdel (sheir) Roul Corlean Jacon Konnedy
20	RWSTC APPROVAL DATE: 1.10.13
21	TRANSMITTAL TO SPONSORS DATE: Fall 2012
23	COUNCIL APPROVAL DATE: 1-11-13
24	
25	TOPIC: Visual Assessment Method – Maintaining Minimum Retroreflectivity,
26	Section 2A.08
27	
28 20	AFFECTED FORTIONS OF MUTCD: SECTION 2A.08
29 30	DISCUSSION:

31 32 Section 2A.08 paragraph 04 lists Visual Nighttime Inspection as one of the 5 methods that can be used to maintain sign retroreflectivity. The 6th method is "other methods". 33 34 However, there are actually 3 visual assessment procedures (methods); and these are not 35 mentioned in the MUTCD, but are mentioned in various FHWA documents and other 36 research documents. Many agencies are reading the MUTCD not realizing that there are 37 three specific techniques (called procedures) that make up the visual inspection method. 38 Even though paragraph (05) of this section does refer the reader to the 2007 Edition of FHWA's "Maintaining Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity" (FHWA -SA-07-020) which lists 39 40 these 3 procedures (methods); that is often overlooked and additional language is needed 41 in the MUTCD to name these 3 procedures with a description. There is a guidebook 42 called "sign retroreflectivity from Sept 2009 by USDOT and FHWA. (FHWA CFL TD 43 09-005). This document also lists the 3 procedures. 44 45 Additional language is needed in the MUTCD to name these 3 procedures with a 46 description. The procedures are presently in the resources, but NCHRP Synthesis 431 47 (May 2012) stated that the current MUTCD is confusing in terms of the visual assessment 48 method because it does not match the resources. 49 50 The visual assessment method has three procedures under this method. The procedures 51 describe what activities are at night. 52 53 Other documents: 2007 "Methods for Maintaining Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity", 54 (FHWA -HRT-08-026). 55 56 Also, 2 of the 5 methods are assessment methods and 3 are management methods, and 57 that should be described as well in the MUTCD. 58 59 **RECOMMENDATION:** Include the 3 procedures under the visual assessment method in section 2A.08. Add paragraph showing which methods are management methods and

60 61

62

63 **RECOMMENDED WORDING:**

which are assessment methods.

Note: Proposed changes to the MUTCD are shown in <u>underline red</u> and removed text are shown in strikethrough red.

66 Section 2A.08 Maintaining Minimum Retroreflectivity

- 67 Support:
- 68 01 Retroreflectivity is one of several factors associated with maintaining nighttime sign 69 visibility (see <u>Section 2A.22</u>).
- 70 Standard:
- 71 02 Public agencies or officials having jurisdiction shall use an assessment or
- 72 management method that is designed to maintain sign retroreflectivity at or
- 73 above the minimum levels in <u>Table 2A-3</u>.

Table 2A-3. Minimum Maintained Retroreflectivity Levels ¹					
	Sheeting Type (ASTM D4956-04)				
Sign Color		Beaded Sheeting		Prismatic Sheeting	A
Sign color	I	П	ш	111, IV, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X	
White on	W <u>*</u> ; G ≥ 7	W*; G ≥ 15	W*; G ≥ 25	W ≥ 250; G ≥ 25	C
Green	W*; G ≥ 7	W ≥ 120; G ≥ 15			Pos
Black on	Y*; O*	Y ≥ 50; O ≥ 5		50	
Yellow or Black on Orange	Yellow or lack onY*; O*Y \geq 75; O \geq 75DrangeY \geq 75; O \geq 75				
White on Red			W ≥ 35; R ≥ 7		
Black on White			W ≥ 50		

Notes:

¹ The minimum maintained retroreflectivity levels shown in this table are in units of cd/lx/m² r an observation angle of 0.2° and an entrance angle of -4.0°. ² For text and fine symbol signs measuring at least 48 inches and for all sizes of bold symbol s ³ For text and fine symbol signs measuring less than 48 inches ⁴ Minimum sign contrast ratio \geq 3:1 (white retroreflectivity \div red retroreflectivity) * This sheeting type shall not be used for this color for this application.

	Bold Symbol Signs	5
 W1-1, -2 – Turn and Curve W1-3, -4 – Reverse Turn and Curve W1-5 – Winding Road W1-6, -7 – Large Arrow W1-6, -7 – Large Arrow W1-8 – Chevron W1-8 – Chevron W1-10 – Intersection in Curve W1-10 – Intersection in Curve W1-11 – Hairpin Curve W1-15 – 270 Degree Loop W2-1 – Cross Road W2-2, -3 – Side 	 W3-1 – Stop Ahead W3-2 – Yield Ahead W3-3 – Signal Ahead W4-1 – Merge W4-2 – Lane Ends W4-3 – Added Lane W4-5 – Entering Roadway Merge W4-6 – Entering Roadway Added Lane W6-1, -2 – Divided Highway Begins and Ends W6-3 – Two-Way Traffic W10-1, -2, -3, -4, -11, -12 – Grade Crossing Advance Warning 	W11-2 – Pedestrian Cro W11-3, -4, -16-22 – La W11-5 – Farm Equipme W11-6 – Snowmobile C W11-7 – Equestrian Cro W11-8 – Fire Station W11-10 – Truck Crossir W12-1 – Double Arrow W16-5P, -6P, -7P – Poir Plaques W20-7 – Flagger W21-1 – Worker

	Table	e 2A-3. Minimum	Maintained Retr	roreflectivity Levels ¹	
		Sheeting ⁻	Type (ASTM D49	56-04)	
Sign Color		Beaded Shee	ting	Prismatic Sheeting	Α
Sign Color	I	П	ш	111, IV, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X	ſ
Road • W2-4, -5 – Intersectio • W2-6 – Cir Intersectio • W2-7, -8 – Side Roads	T and Y n cular n Double				
	Fine Sym	bol Signs (symb	ol signs not liste	ed as bold symbol sign	s)
			Special Cases		
 W3-1 – Sto W3-2 – Yie W3-3 – Sig W3-5 – Sp For non-dia Stop), or V proper min 	op Ahead: Id Ahead: Inal Ahead eed Reduc amond sha V13-1P, -2 imum retr	Red retroreflectivi Red retroreflectiv Red retroreflectiv Red retroreflecti tion: White retrore aped signs such as 2, -3, -6, -7(Speed coreflectivity level.	ity ≥ 7 ity ≥ 7; White retr vity ≥ 7; Green re eflectivity ≥ 50 W14-3 (No Passir Advisory Signs), \square	roreflectivity ≥ 35 etroreflectivity ≥ 7 ng Zone), W4-4P (Cross ⁻ use largest sign dimensio	Traffic on to d

74 Support:

75 03 Compliance with the Standard in <u>Paragraph 2</u> is achieved by having a method in place

- and using the method to maintain the minimum levels established in <u>Table 2A-3</u>.
- 77 Provided that an assessment or management method is being used, an agency or official
- having jurisdiction would be in compliance with the Standard in <u>Paragraph 2</u> even if there
- are some individual signs that do not meet the minimum retroreflectivity levels at a
- 80 particular point in time.
- 81 Guidance:
- 82 04 Except for those signs specifically identified in <u>Paragraph 6</u>, one or more of the
- 83 following assessment or management methods should be used to maintain sign
- 84 retroreflectivity <u>at or above the minimum levels in Table 2A-3</u>. Signs that are below the
- 85 *minimum levels should be replaced:*
- 86 Assessment Methods
- 87 A. Visual Nighttime Inspection—The retroreflectivity of an existing sign is assessed
 88 by a trained sign inspector conducting a visual <u>nighttime</u> inspection from a moving
 89 vehicle during nighttime conditions. Signs that are visually identified by the inspector
- 90 to have retroreflectivity below the minimum levels should be replaced. The visual
- 91 <u>inspection method is made up of three specific procedures that can be used by</u>
- 92 <u>themselves or combined with other methods.</u>
- 93 1. <u>Calibration Signs Procedure In this procedure, an inspector views a</u> 94 <u>"calibration sign" prior to conducting a nighttime inspection. Calibration signs</u>

95 96 97 98 99	have retroreflectivity levels at or above minimum levels. These signs are set up where the inspector can view the calibration signs in a manner similar to nighttime field inspections. The inspector uses the visual appearance of the calibration sign to establish the evaluation threshold for that night's inspection activities.
100 101 102 103 104 105 106	 <u>Comparison Panels Procedure- Comparison panels are used to supplement a</u> nighttime inspection of sign retroreflectivity. When signs are identified as having questionable retroreflectivity. Comparison panels are used to determine whether those identified signs have retroreflectivity levels at or above the minimum levels. To use this procedure, a comparison panel is attached to the sign and the sign/panel combination is viewed and compared by the inspector.
107	3 Consistent Parameters Procedure - Nighttime inspections are conducted using
108	the following conditions:
109 110 111 112 113	 <u>Using a sport utility vehicle or pick-up truck to conduc</u> <u>the inspection.</u> <u>Using a model year 2000 or newer vehicle for the</u> <u>inspection.</u> <u>Using an inspector who is at least 60 years old.</u>
114 115 116 117	B. Measured Sign Retroreflectivity—Sign retroreflectivity is measured using a <u>handheld or mobile</u> retroreflectometer. Signs with retroreflectivity below the minimum levels should be replaced. <u>Management methods</u>
118	
119 120 121 122 123	A. Expected Sign Life—When signs are installed, the installation date is labeled or recorded so that the age of a sign is known. The age of the sign is compared to the expected sign life. The expected sign life is based on the experience of sign retroreflectivity degradation in a geographic area compared to the minimum levels. Signs older than the expected life should be replaced.
124 125 126 127 128	B. Blanket Replacement—All signs in an area/corridor, or of a given type, should be replaced at specified intervals. This eliminates the need to assess retroreflectivity or track the life of individual signs. The replacement interval is based on the expected sign life, compared to the minimum levels, for the shortest-life material used on the affected signs.
129 130 131 132 133 134 135	C. Control Signs—Replacement of signs in the field is based on the performance of a sample of control signs. The control signs might be a small sample located in a maintenance yard or a sample of signs in the field. The control signs are monitored to determine the end of retroreflective life for the associated signs. All field signs represented by the control sample should be replaced before the retroreflectivity levels of the control sample reach the minimum levels.
136 137	Other methods:
138 139	D. <u>Other management and/or assessment methods</u> developed based on engineering studies, can be used.

Support:

05 Additional information about these methods <u>and procedures</u> is contained <u>in the Sign</u> <u>Retroreflectivity Guidebook, FHWA September 2009 by USDOT and FHWA. (FHWA CFL TD</u>

143 144	09-005). The 2007 Edition of FHWA's "Maintaining Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity" (see Section 1A.11) also provides information on sign retroreflectivity.		
145 146 147	Option: 06 Highway agencies may exclude the following signs from the retroreflectivity maintenance guidelines described in this Section:		
148	A. Parking, Standing, and Stopping signs (R7 and R8 series)		
149	B. Walking/Hitchhiking/Crossing signs (R9 series, R10-1 through R10-4b)		
150	C. Acknowledgment signs		
151	D. All signs with blue or brown backgrounds		
152	E. Bikeway signs that are intended for exclusive use by bicyclists or pedestrians		
153			
154	RWSTC 6-20-12 Vote: For: 18		
155	Opposed: 3		
156	Abstentions: 0		
157			
158	RWSTC 1-10-13 Vote: For: 25		
159	Opposed: 2		
160	Abstentions: 1		
161			
16 L	COUNCIL 1-11-13 Vote: For: 37		
163	Opposed: 1		
164	Abstentions: 0		
165			
160	c: NCUTCD/January 2013/Heydel/RW # 3 Visual assessment method, Section 2A.08 5-6-12, revised 5-24-12 revised 5-26-12 6-20-12 READY FOR SPONSORS, revised following sponsor		
168	comments 12-9-12, revised 12-21-12, revised 1-9-13, revised 1-10-13 following sponsor comments,		
169	approved by Council 1-11-13		
170			