1		
2	ATTACHMENT NO. 6	
3		
4	<u>RW No. 5, January 2011</u>	
5		
6		
7		
8	National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices	
9	RWSTC RECOMMENDATION FOLLOWING SPONSOR COMMENTS	
10		
11	TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: NCUTCD Regulatory/Warning Signs Technical	
12	Committee	
13		
14	DATE OF ACTION: (TASK FORCE): May, 14, 2010	
15	RWSTC APPROVAL DATE: June 30, 2010	
16	TRANSMITTAL TO SPONSORS DATE: Oct 28, 2010	
17	RWSTC APPROVAL FOLLOWING SPONSOR COMMENTS: January 19, 2011	
18	COUNCIL APPROVAL DATE: January 20 , 2011	
19		
20	TOPIC: Two Way Traffic on a Three Lane Road	
21		
22	AFFECTED PORTIONS OF MUTCD: Figure 2C-8, Table 2C-1 and Table 2C-2	
23	(2009 MUTCD)	
24	DISCUSSION.	
25	DISCUSSION:	
26	The Synthesis of Non-MUTCD Signing identified seventy-four signs or categories of	
27 28	signs that are not in the MUTCD but were in common use by various States, local	
28 29	governments and Canada. The <i>Synthesis of Non-MUTCD Signing</i> recommended that these signs he considered for inclusion in the NCUTCD. One of these signs was the	
29 30	these signs be considered for inclusion in the NCUTCD. One of these signs was the symbol "Two way Traffic on a Three Lane Pood" shown below.	
30 31	symbol "Two-way Traffic on a Three Lane Road" shown below.	
51		



- 36 At least 3 States and Canada are using a variant of the two-way traffic symbol to warn of
- 37 two-way traffic on roads having three lanes, with one lane in one direction and two lanes
- in the other direction. The standard symbol (W6-3, Section 2C.44 of the 2009 MUTCD)
- 39 shows one up arrow and one down arrow. The three States' variants show three arrows,
- 40 symbolically denoting not only two-way traffic but also how many lanes in each
- 41 direction. The Canadian equivalent of the symbol includes a vertical broken line
- 42 separating the up and down arrows, and one State's 3-arrow variant uses the same
- 43 concept (but with a solid line) to reinforce the message.
- 44

The Two Way Traffic on a Three Lane Roadway sign (W6-5 and W6-6) was approved by
Council on January 18, 2007 and was included in the December 2007 NPA in Section
2C.46, Figure 2C-8 and Table 2C-2. The sign in the NPA had a solid line separating the
two directions of travel. The version of the sign approved by Council did not include the
solid line separating the two directions of travel.

50

51 The sign was eliminated from the 2009 MUTCD. Section 142 of the December 16, 2009

52 Final Rule states "In the NPA, the FHWA proposed adding a new section numbered and

- 53 titled Section 2C.46 Two-Way Traffic on a Three-Lane Roadway Sign. The proposed sign
- 54 was a variant of the existing W6–1 two-way traffic warning sign. ATSSA and two local

55 DOTs supported the sign; however, an NCUTCD member and a citizen expressed

- 56 concern that the sign might convey inaccurate information to drivers if the sign rotated to
- 57 an upside down position as the result of vandalism or sign damage. The FHWA agrees
- 58 and does not adopt this section or the associated signs in this final rule."
- 59

60 This comment was not among those received at the Sponsors Comment stage of review.

- 61 At that time ATSSA commented that the sign should be Regulatory rather than Warning.
- 62 The major comment at the Sponsors Comment stage of review was that there should be a 63 line separating the two directions of the arrows. The NPA eventually adopted this point
- 64 of view.
- 65

66 It is true that a rotated sign would impart incorrect information. But the same is true of 67 the Chevron signs (R6-4 and W1-8), the Lane Reduction (W4-2) sign and some of the

- 68 Intersection signs (W2-2 and W2-3). When rotated, the bottom of a standard 30 inch by
- 69 30 inch sign with a 5 foot mounting height would be approximately 18 inches above the
- ground. It would be obvious to most motorists that something is wrong with the sign.
- 71 Also, none of the State's using this sign, commented on the threat of vandalism, so it may
- be assumed that the threat is small.
- 73

The RWSTC believes that this sign is needed to properly sign two-way roadways withthree travel lanes.

76

77 RECOMMENDATION: Add Section 2C.XX, and add the sign shown above to 78 Table 2C-1, Table 2C-2 and Figure 2C-8

79 80

81	Note: Proposed changes to the MUTCD are shown in <u>underline red</u> and removed		
82	text are shown in st	rikethrough red.	
83			
84	RECOMMENDED WORDING:		
85			
86	Section 2C.XX <u>Two-way Traffic on a Three Lane Roadway (W6-X) sign</u>		
87	Option:		
88	The Two-way Traffic on a Three Lane Roadway (W6-Xa and W6-Xb) signs may be		
89	installed along three lane roadways with two lanes in one direction and one in the		
90	opposing direction.		
91	Standard:		
92	The W6-X sign shall match the lane configuration of the roadway.		
93			
94			
95	RWSTC VOTE:	For: 20	
96		Opposed: 1	
97		Abstentions: 1	
98		Approved	
99			
100	VOTE: Council	For: 35	
101		Opposed: 0	
102		Absentions: 2	
103		Approved 1-20-11	
104			
105			
106	C:ncutcd/January 2011/RW # 5 Resubmit2WayTraffic3LaneRoad revised 6-30-10,		
107	approved 1-19-11, 1-20-11 approved by council		