



# National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

13236 North 7th Street, Suite 4-259, Phoenix, Arizona 85022  
Phone/Text: 231-4-NCUTCD (231-462-8823)  
E-mail: secretary@ncutcd.org Website: <https://ncutcd.org>

Item No.: 25A-RAB-01

## NCUTCD PROPOSAL FOR CHANGES TO THE MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES

**COMMITTEE / TASK FORCE:** Roundabout Joint Task Force  
**ITEM NUMBER:** 25A-RAB-01  
**TOPIC:** Edge Lines in Roundabouts  
**ORIGIN OF REQUEST:** Roundabout JTF Members: Scott Zehngraft (Chair) and Brian Walsh (Vice-Chair)  
**AFFECTED SECTIONS OF MUTCD:** 3D.03

### DEVELOPMENT HISTORY:

Approved by Roundabout JTF: 01/08/2025  
Approved by Markings TC: 01/09/2025  
Approved by NCUTCD Council:

*This is a proposed change to the MUTCD that has been developed by a technical committee, joint committee, or joint task force of the NCUTCD. The NCUTCD is distributing this to its sponsoring organizations for review and comment. Sponsor comments will be considered in revising the proposal prior to NCUTCD Council consideration. This proposal does not represent a revision of the MUTCD and does not constitute official MUTCD standards, guidance, options, or support. If approved by the NCUTCD Council, the recommended changes will be submitted to FHWA for consideration for inclusion in a future MUTCD revision. The MUTCD can be revised only through the federal rulemaking process.*

### SUMMARY:

The proposal reintroduces recommended changes for roundabout pavement markings that were reviewed by the Markings Technical Committee as part of the NPA review but were not reviewed by the NCUTCD Council and were not incorporated into the 11th Edition of the MUTCD.

### DISCUSSION:

The intent of this proposal is to add to the edge line pavement markings section an enhancement to the lane line extensions at roundabout entries. This is being introduced as wider dotted edge line extensions have achieved widespread practice in application by practitioners and agencies and address an issue with roundabout operations and safety. Formalizing the practice to achieve uniformity is important for the next edition of the MUTCD.

NCHRP Report 1043 states in section 12.3.5 (on pages 12-19 & 12-20) that:

36 *“Most roundabouts have been installed with a dotted circulatory roadway edge-line*  
37 *extension across the entry lane or lanes. These edge lines act as entrance lines, marking*  
38 *the boundary between entering and circulating vehicles. These entrance lines have been*  
39 *commonly installed with widths greater than a typical wide line: 12 in. to 24 in. (300 mm to*  
40 *600 mm) is common. Many agencies have also used a marking pattern unique to this*  
41 *application, with patterns of 2-ft to 3-ft (0.6-m to 0.9-m) lines with 2-ft to 3-ft (0.6-m to 0.9-m)*  
42 *gaps being common.*

43  
44 *Yield lines are sometimes used in addition to entrance lines to further indicate the point*  
45 *behind which vehicles are required to yield in response to the yield signs at roundabouts.*  
46 *As described in the MUTCD, yield lines consist of a row of solid white isosceles triangles*  
47 *pointing toward approaching vehicles. Like other applications of yield lines and stop lines,*  
48 *the yield lines should normally be placed at right angles to the entry roadway. Exhibit 12.24*  
49 *illustrates an example.*

50  
51 *Debate continues about best practices for entrance markings. The MUTCD defines different*  
52 *purposes for edge-line extensions and yield lines, but in practice edge-line extensions often*  
53 *function as yield lines when paired with the required yield signs. There is little documented*  
54 *evidence that the supplemental yield line improves yielding behavior enough to justify the*  
55 *increased installation and maintenance costs. Some agencies have instead used a yield*  
56 *word pavement marking at a roundabout entrance to supplement the yield sign and edge-*  
57 *line extension.”*

58  
59 Based on the language above from NCHRP Report 1043, it appears some better guidance on  
60 the use of “entrance lines” may be needed in the MUTCD.

61  
62 White edge lines and the wide dotted edge line extensions for entry in 3D.03 have been  
63 identified as important applications for circulating traffic as well as approaching traffic on an  
64 entry leg of a roundabout. Specifically, the wide dotted edge line extension is also a transverse  
65 line for the traffic approaching the roundabout and is an important visual to assist driver on  
66 where the “yield limit line” or “entry line” is before entering the circulatory roadway. While a wide  
67 dotted line can be 8 or 12 inches, this width is insufficient to be seen from a distance due to it  
68 being a transverse line that is viewed at an oblique angle (driver eye height of 3.5 feet), similar  
69 to a stop line.

70  
71 A stop line can be 12”-24” wide but is typically installed 18”-24” wide because of the oblique  
72 angle at which it is viewed. The wide dotted edge line extension should similarly be 18”-24” wide  
73 to be a consistent width for a transverse marking line that may require a stop. Furthermore,  
74 marked crosswalks (present on many designs) and yield lines “shark teeth” (optional) make for  
75 visual clutter when combined with a normal 8 or 12” wide dotted line.

76  
77 Due to the option to not use a “yield line/shark teeth”, the wide dotted edge line extension is a  
78 critical line, and practitioners need to have the option for that line to be strengthened by being  
79 18” – 24” to support the driver’s ability to see where the “limit line” clearly delineates the start of  
80 a circulatory roadway.

83 **RECOMMENDED MUTCD CHANGES:**  
84 The following present the proposed changes to the current MUTCD within the context of the  
85 current MUTCD language. Proposed additions to the MUTCD are shown in blue underline and  
86 proposed deletions from the MUTCD are shown in ~~red strikethrough~~. Changes previously  
87 approved by NCUTCD Council (but not yet adopted by FHWA) are shown in green double  
88 underline for additions and ~~green double strikethrough~~ for deletions. In some cases,  
89 background comments may be provided with the MUTCD text. These comments are indicated  
90 by bracketed white text in shaded green. Deletions made by a technical committee or task  
91 force after initial distribution to sponsoring organizations are shown in ~~highlighted red~~  
92 ~~strikethrough and Helvetica text~~. Additions made by a technical committee or task force after  
93 initial distribution to sponsoring organizations are shown in underline blue and Helvetica text.

### 94 95 **PART 3. MARKINGS**

#### 96 97 **CHAPTER 3D. CIRCULAR INTERSECTION MARKINGS**

#### 98 99 **Section 3D.03 Edge Line Pavement Markings for Roundabout Circulatory Roadways**

100 *Guidance:*

- 101 01 *A white edge line should be used on the outer (right-hand) edge of the circulatory roadway.*  
102 02 *Where a white edge line is used for the circulatory roadway, it should be as follows (see Figure 3D-*  
103 *1):*  
104 A. *A solid line adjacent to the splitter island, and*  
105 B. *A wide dotted line across the lane(s) entering the roundabout.*

106 **Standard:**

107 03 **Edge lines and edge line extensions shall not be placed across the exits from the circulatory**  
108 **roadway at roundabouts.**

109 *Option:*

110 04 *A yellow edge line may be placed around the inner (left-hand) edge of the circulatory roadway (see*  
111 *Figure 3D-1) and may be used to channelize traffic (see Drawing B in Figure 3D-3).*  
112 05 *Wide dotted white edge line extensions may be 18 to 24 inches wide and 2-foot line segments with*  
113 *2-foot gaps.*

114 [Markings TC approved similar language in 2021 adding this Option Statement to paragraph 05]